

Solutions to Assignment #14

1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a Bernoulli(p) distribution with $0 < p < 1$. We have seen that $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is the MLE for p . Compute the mean squared error, $\text{MSE}(\hat{p})$, of \hat{p} .

Solution: Observe that \hat{p} is an unbiased estimator for p . It then follows that

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{p}) = \text{var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

□

2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 .
- (a) For non-negative constants a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , define

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i. \tag{1}$$

Prove that W is an unbiased estimator for μ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$.

Solution: The estimator $W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$ is an unbiased estimator for μ if and only if $E(W) = \mu$, if and only if,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i) = \mu,$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu = \mu,$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1,$$

which was to be shown. □

- (b) Out of all the unbiased estimators of μ of the form in (1), find the one which has the smallest possible variance. Calculate the variance of that estimator.

Solution: For any estimator, W , of μ , which is of the form

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i,$$

the variance is given by

$$\text{var}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \text{var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2,$$

since the X_i s are independent. Thus, we need to minimize the function

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$$

subject to the constraint

$$g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1.$$

We therefore use the method of lagrange multipliers; that is, we find λ and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n such that

$$\nabla f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \lambda \nabla g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n),$$

which leads to the equations

$$2a_i = \lambda \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

or

$$a_i = \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Substituting these into the constraint equation,

$$g((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1,$$

yields

$$n \frac{\lambda}{2} = 1,$$

from which we get that

$$a_i = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, the estimator

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} X_i = \bar{X}_n$$

provides a critical point for the variance over all estimators of the form

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i,$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$. To see that \bar{X}_n yields the smallest variance out

of all estimators in the simplex defined by $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, we compare $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n)$ with the variance at the corners of the simplex; namely,

$$\text{var}(X_i) = \sigma^2, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Comparing these with

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n},$$

we see that \bar{X}_n has the smallest possible variance. \square

3. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

Compute the efficiency, $\text{eff}(\hat{\sigma}^2, S_n^2)$, of $\hat{\sigma}^2$, the MLE for σ^2 , relative to the sample variance, S_n^2 . What do you conclude?

Solution: Since $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is not an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , in this problem, it makes more sense to look at the ratio of the MSEs; that is, we consider the relative efficiency defined by

$$\text{eff}(\hat{\sigma}^2, S_n^2) = \frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2)}{\text{MSE}(S_n^2)},$$

where

$$\text{MSE}(S_n^2) = \text{var}(S_n^2)$$

since S_n^2 is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

Using the fact that $\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2}S_n^2$ has a χ^2 with $n-1$ degrees of freedom we obtain that

$$\text{var}\left(\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2}S_n^2\right) = 2(n-1),$$

from which we get that

$$\text{var}\left(\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2}S_n^2\right) = 2(n-1),$$

or

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{\sigma^4}\text{var}(S_n^2) = 2(n-1),$$

from which we get that

$$\text{var}(S_n^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}.$$

We therefore have that

$$\text{MSE}(S_n^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}.$$

Next, we compute the MSE of $\hat{\sigma}^2$.

Observe first that $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n}S_n^2$, so that

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n}E(S_n^2) = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2.$$

Thus $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is biased with

$$\text{bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E(\hat{\sigma}^2) - \sigma^2 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

Next, we compute the variance of $\hat{\sigma}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \text{var}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}S_n^2\right) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2}\text{var}(S_n^2) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \cdot \sigma^4.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) + (\text{bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2))^2 \\ &= \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{eff}(\hat{\sigma}^2, S_n^2) &= \frac{\text{MSE}(S_n^2)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4}{\frac{2}{n-1} \sigma^4} \\ &= \frac{(2n-1)(n-1)}{2n^2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \left(3 - \frac{1}{n}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2)}{\text{MSE}(S_n^2)} < 1, \quad \text{for all } n,$$

which shows that the MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$ has a smaller mean square error than the unbiased estimator S_n^2 . \square

4. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter λ .

(a) Show that the sample mean, \bar{X}_n , and the sample variance, S_n^2 , are unbiased estimators of λ .

Solution: The mean and variance of a Poisson distribution with parameter λ are both equal to λ . It then follows that the sample mean, \bar{X}_n , and the sample variance, S_n^2 , are both unbiased estimators of λ . \square

(b) Compute the efficiency, $\text{eff}(\bar{X}_n, S_n^2)$, of \bar{X}_n relative to S_n^2 . What do you conclude?

Solution: We need to compute the variance of S_n^2 . In order to do this we apply the formula

$$\text{var}(S_n^2) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\mu_4 - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \mu_2^2 \right), \quad (2)$$

where μ_2 denotes the second central moment, or variance, of the distribution and μ_4 is the fourth central moment. We therefore have from (2) that

$$\text{var}(S_n^2) = \frac{1}{n} \left(E[(X - \lambda)^4] - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \lambda^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

where $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Next, compute

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X - \lambda)^4] &= E[X^4 - 4\lambda X^3 + 6\lambda^2 X^2 - 4\lambda^3 X + \lambda^4] \\ &= E(X^4) - 4\lambda E(X^3) + 6\lambda^2 E(X^2) - 4\lambda^3 E(X) + \lambda^4, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation operator. Thus,

$$E[(X - \lambda)^4] = E(X^4) - 4\lambda E(X^3) + 6\lambda^2 E(X^2) - 3\lambda^4. \quad (4)$$

Next, compute the moments $E(X^2)$, $E(X^3)$ and $E(X^4)$ by using the moment generating function

$$M_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taking the first four derivatives we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M'_X(t) &= \lambda e^t e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}, \\ M''_X(t) &= (\lambda^2 e^{2t} + \lambda e^t) e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$M_X'''(t) = (\lambda^3 e^{3t} + 3\lambda^2 e^{2t} + \lambda e^t) e^{\lambda(e^t-1)},$$

and

$$M_X^{(4)}(t) = (\lambda^4 e^{4t} + 6\lambda^3 e^{3t} + 7\lambda^2 e^{2t} + \lambda e^t) e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}.$$

It then follows that the moments of X are

$$E(X^2) = M_X''(0) = \lambda^2 + \lambda,$$

$$E(X^3) = M_X'''(0) = \lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + \lambda,$$

$$E(X^4) = M_X^{(4)}(0) = \lambda^4 + 6\lambda^3 + 7\lambda^2 + \lambda.$$

Thus, using equation (4), we obtain that

$$E[(X - \lambda)^4] = 3\lambda^2 + \lambda.$$

We therefore get from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(S_n^2) &= \frac{1}{n} \left(3\lambda^2 + \lambda - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \lambda^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{2n}{n-1} \lambda^2 + \lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

The variance of \bar{X}_n is

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

We then have that

$$\text{eff}(\bar{X}_n, S_n^2) = \frac{\text{var}(\bar{X}_n)}{\text{var}(S_n^2)} = \frac{n-1}{n-1+2n\lambda} < 1$$

for all n . Consequently, \bar{X}_n is a more precise estimator of λ than the sample variance. \square

5. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a uniform distribution over the interval $[0, \theta]$ for some parameter $\theta > 0$.

We saw in Problem 4 of Assignment #12 that $W = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ is the MLE for θ . Determine whether W is unbiased or not.

Solution: We need to compute the expected value of W :

$$E_{\theta}(W) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w f_w(w | \theta) \, dw, \quad (5)$$

where $f_w(w | \theta)$ is the pdf of W . To determine the pdf of W , we first compute the cdf

$$\begin{aligned} F_w(w | \theta) &= P(W \leq w) \\ &= P(\max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\} \leq w) \\ &= P(X_1 \leq w, X_2 \leq w, \dots, X_n \leq w) \\ &= P(X_1 \leq w) \cdot P(X_2 \leq w) \cdots P(X_n \leq w), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the independence of the X_i s. Consequently, since the X_i s are also identically distributed,

$$F_w(w | \theta) = [F_x(w)]^n.$$

it then follows that

$$f_w(w | \theta) = n[F_x(w)]^{n-1} f_x(w | \theta), \quad (6)$$

where $f_x(w | \theta)$ is the pdf of $X \sim \text{uniform}[0, \theta]$; namely,

$$f_x(w | \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 \leq w \leq \theta; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$F_x(w | \theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w \leq 0; \\ \frac{w}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 < w \leq \theta; \\ 1 & \text{if } w > \theta. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, by equation (6),

$$f_w(w | \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{nw^{n-1}}{\theta^n} & \text{if } 0 \leq w \leq \theta; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

It then follows from 5) that

$$E_{\theta}(W) = \int_0^{\theta} \frac{nw^n}{\theta^n} d\theta = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta.$$

We then see that $E_{\theta}(W) \neq \theta$, which shows that W is not an unbiased estimator of θ . \square