

## Solutions to Assignment #6

1. Let  $W$  denote the solution space of the equation

$$3x_1 + 8x_2 + 2x_3 - x_4 + x_5 = 0.$$

Find a linearly independent subset,  $S$ , of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  such that  $W = \text{span}(S)$ .

**Solution:** Solve for  $x_1$  in terms of the other variables to get

$$x_1 = -\frac{8}{3}x_2 - \frac{2}{3}x_3 + \frac{1}{3}x_4 - \frac{1}{3}x_5.$$

Setting  $x_2 = -3t$ ,  $x_3 = -3s$ ,  $x_4 = 3r$  and  $x_5 = -3q$ , where  $t, s, r, q$  are arbitrary parameters, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 8t + 2s + r + q \\ x_2 &= -3t \\ x_3 &= -3s \\ x_4 &= 3r \\ x_5 &= -3q \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = t \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + s \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + q \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the solution space,  $W$ , is spanned by the set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

To see that  $S$  is linearly independent, consider the vector equation

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields the system

$$\begin{cases} 8c_1 + 2c_2 + c_3 + c_4 = 0 \\ -3c_1 = 0 \\ -3c_2 = 0 \\ 3c_3 = 0 \\ -3c_4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

From the last four equations we get that

$$c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0.$$

Hence,  $S$  is linearly independent and  $W = \text{span}(S)$ .  $\square$

2. Let  $W$  denote the solution space of the system

$$\begin{cases} x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Find a linearly independent subset,  $S$ , of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $W = \text{span}(S)$ .

**Solution:** Multiplying the first equation by  $-2$ , adding the scalar multiple to the second equation, and replacing the second equation by the result yields the system

$$\begin{cases} x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3 = 0 \\ x_2 + 3x_3 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Next, multiply the second equation in system (1) by 2, add the scalar multiple to the first equation and replace the first equation by the result to get

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 5x_3 = 0 \\ x_2 + 3x_3 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The system in (2) can now be solved for the leading variable  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  to get

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = -5x_3 \\ x_2 = -3x_3. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Setting  $x_3 = -t$ , where  $t$  is an arbitrary parameter, we obtain the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 5t \\ x_2 &= 3t \\ x_3 &= -t. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that the solution space of the system is

$$W = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Setting

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

completes the solution to the problem.  $\square$

3. In the following system, find the value or values of  $\lambda$  for which the system has nontrivial solutions. In each case, give a linearly independent subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which generates the solution space.

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda - 3)x + y = 0 \\ x + (\lambda - 3)y = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Solution:** Solve for  $y$  in the first equation and substitute into the second to get

$$x - (\lambda - 3)^2 x = 0,$$

which factors into

$$x[1 - (\lambda - 3)^2] = 0.$$

If  $x = 0$ , we get from the first equation that  $y = 0$ , and so we get the trivial solution. Hence, since we are looking for non-trivial solutions, we must have that

$$1 - (\lambda - 3)^2 = 0.$$

This quadratic equation can be solve to yield

$$\lambda - 3 = \pm 1,$$

so that

$$\lambda = 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 4.$$

In the case that  $\lambda = 2$  we get the system

$$\begin{cases} -x + y = 0 \\ x - y = 0 \end{cases}$$

which reduces to the equation

$$x = y.$$

Setting  $y = t$ , where  $t$  is an arbitrary parameter, we get that

$$\begin{cases} x = t \\ y = t \end{cases}$$

so that, for  $\lambda = 2$ , the solution space is

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\lambda = 4$ , we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} x + y = 0 \\ x + y = 0 \end{cases}$$

which reduces to the equation

$$x = -y.$$

Setting  $y = -t$ , where  $t$  is an arbitrary parameter, we get that

$$\begin{cases} x = t \\ y = -t \end{cases}$$

so that, for  $\lambda = 2$ , the solution space is

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

□

4. Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(a) Show that the set  $\{v\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

*Proof:* Suppose first that  $\{v\}$  is linearly independent. If  $v = \mathbf{0}$ , then

$$cv = \mathbf{0}$$

for any scalar  $c$ . It then follows that the equation

$$cv = \mathbf{0}$$

has nontrivial solutions and therefore  $\{v\}$  is linearly dependent. But this contradicts the assumption of independence. We therefore conclude that  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and consider the equation

$$cv = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we must have that  $c = 0$  and therefore

$$cv = \mathbf{0}$$

has only the trivial solution  $c = 0$ . Consequently,  $\{v\}$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

(b) Show that if  $\mathbf{0} \in S$ , then  $S$  is linearly dependent.

*Proof:* If  $S = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , then  $S$  is linearly dependent by part (a). Thus, suppose that  $S \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Then, there exists  $v \in S$  such that  $v \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Observe that

$$\mathbf{0} = 0 \cdot v$$

so that  $\mathbf{0}$  is in the span of  $v$ , and therefore  $S$  is linearly dependent.  $\square$

5. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $c$  be a scalar.

(a) Show that  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  is also linearly independent.

*Proof:* First observe that if  $c = 0$ ,  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  are the same set. So the result holds true in this case. Thus, assume for the rest of the proof that  $c \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is linearly independent and consider the equation

$$c_1v_1 + c_2(cv_1 + v_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Using the distributive and associative properties we get that

$$(c_1 + cc_2)v_1 + cc_2v_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

It then follows from the linear independence of  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  that

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 + cc_2 &= 0 \\ cc_2 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c \neq 0$ , we deduce from the above equations that  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$  is the only solution of the system. Therefore, the set  $\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  is linearly independent.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  is linearly independent and consider the vector equation

$$c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Adding  $cc_2v_1 - cc_2v_1 = \mathbf{0}$  to both sides of the equation we get

$$c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + cc_2v_1 - cc_2v_1 = \mathbf{0},$$

which by virtue of the distributive and associative properties can be written as

$$(c_1 - cc_2)v_1 + c_2(cv_1 + v_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus, since  $\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  is linearly independent, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 - cc_2 &= 0 \\ c_2 &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

from which we get that  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . Hence,  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

(b) Show that

$$\text{span}(\{v_1, v_2\}) = \text{span}(\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}).$$

*Proof:* Observe that  $cv_1 + v_2 \in \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2\})$ . Therefore,

$$\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\} \subseteq \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2\}).$$

It then follows that

$$\text{span}\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\} \subseteq \text{span}(\{v_1, v_2\}),$$

since  $\text{span}\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  is the smallest subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which contains  $\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\text{span}(\{v_1, v_2\}) \subseteq \text{span}\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}.$$

To see why the last inclusion is true, observe that

$$v_2 = v_2 + cv_1 - cv_1 = -cv_1 + (cv_1 + v_2),$$

which is a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $cv_1 + v_2$ . It then follows that  $v_2 \in \text{span}\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$  and therefore

$$\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq \text{span}\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}.$$

The last inclusion implies that

$$\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq \text{span}\{v_1, cv_1 + v_2\}$$

because  $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  is the smallest subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which contains  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ .  $\square$