

Name: _____

1. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\underline{x}; \theta) &= \theta^n e^{-\sum x_i \theta} \\
 h(\theta) &= 1/\theta \\
 k(\theta; \underline{x}) &\propto \theta^{n-1} e^{-\theta \sum x_i} \\
 \Theta | \underline{X} &\sim \text{gamma}(n, \sum x_i) \\
 E(\Theta | \underline{X}) &= 1/\bar{X}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln f(\underline{x}; \theta) &= n \ln \theta - \sum x_i \theta \\
 \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= n/\theta - \sum x_i = 0 \\
 \hat{\theta} &= 1/\bar{X}
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) If $h(\theta) = 1/\theta$ note that the prior mean is $E[\Theta] = \infty$. The expected value is undefined, so we don't really have any prior information about θ . Also, we can't have a weighted estimate of the prior guess and the frequentist estimate.

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{L(\lambda_0)}{L(\lambda_1)} &= e^{-n(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)} (\lambda_0 / \lambda_1)^{\sum x_i} \leq k \\
 &\iff \sum x_i \geq c
 \end{aligned}$$

Because we know $\sum X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$, we can use $\sum X_i$ to define our critical region:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= P(\sum X_i \geq c | \lambda = 1, n\lambda = 10) = 0.049 \text{ when } c = 16 \\
 C &= \{\underline{x} | \sum x_i \geq 16\}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) If we set up 2 simple hypotheses: $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$, we can use the Neyman-Pearson theorem to get a MP test. Notice that this test is MP for ANY $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$. So, it is UMP for $H_0 : \lambda = 1, H_1 : \lambda > 1$. Also notice that the level of significance is (always) the maximum over all values in Ω_0 . The maximum α will happen at $\lambda = 1$ when $\Omega_0 : \lambda \leq 1$. So, the above test is UMP at $\alpha = 0.049$ for $H_0 : \lambda \leq 1, H_1 : \lambda > 1$.

(c) $\alpha = 0.049$

(d) $\sum x_i = 15$, so we cannot reject H_0 . We do not have enough evidence to claim that $\lambda > 1$.

3. (a)

$$f(\underline{x}; \theta) = \theta^n (1 - \theta)^{\sum x_i} = \theta^n \exp\{\sum x_i \ln(1 - \theta)\}$$

Because we can see that the distribution clearly belongs to the exponential family of distributions, we know $Y_1 = \sum X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic.

(b) $E[Y_2] = E[h(X_1)] = 1 \cdot P(X_1 = 0) + 0 \cdot P(X_1 \neq 0) = P(X_1 = 0) = \theta$.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} Y_3 &= E(Y_2 | \sum X_i = t) = P(X_1 = 0 | \sum X_i = t) \\ &= \frac{P(X_1 = 0 \ \& \ \sum X_i = t)}{P(\sum X_i = t)} = \frac{P(X_1 = 0)P(\sum_{i=2}^n X_i = t)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t)} \\ &= \frac{\theta \cdot \binom{(n-1)-1}{t} \theta^{n-1-t} (1-\theta)^t}{\binom{n-1}{t} \theta^{n-t} (1-\theta)^t} = \frac{\binom{n-2}{t}}{\binom{n-1}{t}} \\ &= \frac{(n-2)!/t!(n-2-t)!}{(n-1)!/t!(n-1-t)!} = \frac{n-1-t}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that we calculated the above probabilities using: $\sum_{i=2}^n X_i \sim \text{Neg Bin}(n-1, \theta)$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Neg Bin}(n, \theta)$.

(d) We know that THE unbiased estimator based only on the complete sufficient statistic is the MVUE by the Lehmann-Scheffe theorem. $Y_3 = (n-1 - \sum X_i)/(n-1)$ is the MVUE.

4. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(2)}{L(3)} &= \frac{2x}{3x^2} = \frac{2}{3x} \leq \frac{3}{2} \\ &\iff x \geq \frac{4}{9} \\ C &= \{x | x \geq 4/9\} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= P(X \geq 4/9 | \theta = 2) = \int_{4/9}^1 2x dx = x^2 \Big|_{4/9}^1 = 65/81 = 0.802 \\ \beta &= P(X < 4/9 | \theta = 3) = \int_0^{4/9} 3x^2 dx = x^3 \Big|_0^{4/9} = 64/729 = 0.087 \\ 2\alpha + 3\beta &= 1.867 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Because α is so large!