

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

This isn't really a warm-up because I'm going to tell you all the answers...

Remember that it is possible to define the power function over all values of  $\theta$  (not just  $\Omega_1$ .)

$$\delta_C(\theta) = \text{Power at } \theta = P(\text{rejecting } H_0 | \theta) = P(\mathbf{X} \in C | \theta)$$

A critical region is **unbiased** if

$$\delta_C(\theta') \leq \delta_C(\theta'') \text{ for all } \theta' \in \Omega_0 \text{ and } \theta'' \in \Omega_1$$

Suppose that a random sample,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , is taken from a Normal( $\mu, \sigma^2$ ) distribution where  $\sigma^2$  is known and  $\mu$  is unknown. We are interested in testing:

$$H_0 : \mu = 47$$

$$H_1 : \mu \neq 47$$

After applying the Neyman-Pearson theorem and Definition 8.2.1, we realize that

- if  $H_1 : \mu < 47$  then:

$$C_1 = \{\mathbf{x} | \bar{x} < c_1\}$$

is a UMP critical region.

- if  $H_1 : \mu > 47$  then:

$$C_2 = \{\mathbf{x} | \bar{x} > c_2\}$$

is a UMP critical region.

Therefore, we cannot have a UMP test over all possible values of  $\mu$  in the alternative,  $\Omega_1$ .

Note that if we are given  $\alpha = 0.01$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 9$  and  $n = 10$ , we can find  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . First, we'll assume  $H_1 : \mu < 47$ , and we'll find  $c_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = 0.01 &= P(\bar{X} < c_1 | \mu = 47) \\ &= P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - 47}{3/\sqrt{10}} < \frac{c_1 - 47}{3/\sqrt{10}}\right) \\ -2.33 &= \frac{c_1 - 47}{3/\sqrt{10}} \\ c_1 &= 44.79 \end{aligned}$$

Using similar equations, we find  $c_2 = 49.21$ .

Now, we want to show that the critical region  $C_1$  is not unbiased for the alternative  $H_1 : \mu \neq 47$ . Using the definition of unbiased, we look for  $\mu$  values in the null and alternative that might contradict the definition of unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{C_1}(\mu = 47) &= P(\bar{X} < 44.79 | \mu = 47) = 0.01 \text{ (as it should)} \\
 \delta_{C_1}(\mu = 46) &= P(\bar{X} < 44.79 | \mu = 46) \\
 &= P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - 46}{3/\sqrt{10}} < \frac{44.79 - 46}{3/\sqrt{10}}\right) \\
 &= P(Z < -1.28) \\
 &= 1 - 0.8997 = 0.1003 \text{ (note that the power is bigger for values in the alternative!)} \\
 \delta_{C_1}(\mu = 48) &= P(\bar{X} < 44.79 | \mu = 48) \\
 &= P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - 48}{3/\sqrt{10}} < \frac{44.79 - 48}{3/\sqrt{10}}\right) \\
 &= P(Z < -3.3836) \\
 &= 1 - 0.9996 = 0.0004 \text{ (note here, the power is smaller for values in the alternative!)}
 \end{aligned}$$

We found two values of  $\mu$ , one each from the null and alternative, such that:

$$\delta_{C_1}(\mu' = 47) > \delta_{C_1}(\mu'' = 48)$$

So, the critical region  $C_1$  is not unbiased.

It turns out that the critical region:

$$C_3 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid |\bar{x} - \mu_0| > c_3\}$$

is unbiased. Let's find  $c_3$ ...

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha = 0.01 &= P(|\bar{X} - \mu_0| > c_3 | \mu = 47) \\
 &= P\left(|\frac{\bar{X} - 47}{3/\sqrt{10}}| > \frac{c_3}{3/\sqrt{10}}\right) \\
 &= P(|Z| > \frac{c_3}{3/\sqrt{10}}) \\
 0.005 &= P(Z > \frac{c_3}{3/\sqrt{10}}) \\
 2.57 &= \frac{c_3}{3/\sqrt{10}} \\
 c_3 &= 3.16
 \end{aligned}$$

I argue that you can't find any  $\mu'' \neq 47$  such that

$$\delta_{C_3}(\mu' = 47) > \delta_{C_3}(\mu'')$$