

Solutions to Review Problems for Exam #1

1. Let X and Y be independent normal(0, 1) random variables and define

$$W = \frac{(X - Y)^2}{2}.$$

Give the distribution of W .

Suggestion: First, determine the distribution of $X - Y$.

Solution: Since X and Y are independent, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{X-Y}(t) &= M_X(t) \cdot M_Y(-t) \\ &= e^{t^2/2} \cdot e^{(-t)^2/2} \\ &= e^{2t^2/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the mgf of a normal(0, 2) distribution. Thus, $X - Y$ has a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 2. It then follows that

$$\frac{X - Y}{\sqrt{2}} \sim \text{normal}(0, 1),$$

and therefore

$$\frac{(X - Y)^2}{2} \sim \chi^2(1).$$

Hence, W has a χ^2 distribution with one degree of freedom. \square

2. Let X denote a random variable with mgf $M_X(t)$ defined on some interval around 0. Put $S(t) = \ln(M_X(t))$ and prove that

$$S'(0) = E(X) \quad \text{and} \quad S''(0) = \text{var}(X).$$

Solution: Differentiating with respect to t we obtain

$$S'(t) = \frac{1}{M_X(t)} M_X'(t),$$

from which we get that

$$S'(0) = \frac{1}{M_X(0)} M_X'(0) = E(X).$$

Differentiating one more time with respect to t we obtain

$$S''(t) = \frac{M_X(t)M_X''(t) - M_X'(t)M_X'(t)}{[M_X(t)]^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$S''(0) = M_X(0)M_X''(0) - [M_X'(0)]^2 = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2 = \text{var}(X).$$

□

3. A median of a distribution of a random variable, X , is a value, m , such that

$$P(X \leq m) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad P(X \geq m) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

(a) Prove that if X is continuous with pdf f_X , then a median m satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx = \int_m^{+\infty} f_X(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Solution: Note the

$$P(X \leq m) = \int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad P(X \geq m) = \int_m^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx,$$

from which we get that

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_m^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Also,

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx + \int_m^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx = 1,$$

from which we get that

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx = 1 - \int_m^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which imply that

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_m^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

- (b) Let $\beta > 0$ and $X \sim \text{exponential}(\beta)$. Compute a median of X . Is the value you obtained the only median of the distribution? How does your answer compare with the mean of the distribution?

Solution: The pdf of X is

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta} & \text{if } x > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

To find a median, m , for the distribution of X , we need to solve

$$\int_{-\infty}^m f_X(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2},$$

by the result of part (a). We then see that $m > 0$ and

$$\int_0^m \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta} \, dx = \frac{1}{2},$$

from which we get that

$$1 - e^{-m/\beta} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Solving for m we see that

$$m = (\ln 2)\beta.$$

Thus the median of the distribution is smaller than the expected value, or mean, of the distribution in this case. □

- (c) Show that if X is a continuous random variable, and m is a median of the the distribution of X , then m a number which minimizes the expression

$$h(t) = E(|X - t|) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

That is, $E(|X - m|) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}} E(|X - t|)$.

Solution: Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x - t| f_X(x) \, dx \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^t |x - t| f_X(x) \, dx + \int_t^{\infty} |x - t| f_X(x) \, dx \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^t -(x - t) f_X(x) \, dx + \int_t^{\infty} (x - t) f_X(x) \, dx \\
 &= t \left(\int_{-\infty}^t f_X(x) \, dx - \int_t^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx \right) \\
 &\quad + \int_t^{\infty} x f_X(x) \, dx - \int_{-\infty}^t x f_X(x) \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to t we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 h'(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t f_X(x) \, dx - \int_t^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx + 2t f_X(t) \\
 &\quad - t f_X(t) - t f_X(t) \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^t f_X(x) \, dx - \int_t^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the product rule and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. Similarly,

$$h''(t) = 2f_X(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It then follows that a critical point of h is a minimizer of h and satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^t f_X(x) \, dx - \int_t^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx = 0,$$

or

$$\int_{-\infty}^t f_X(x) \, dx = \int_t^{\infty} f_X(x) \, dx,$$

which is the definition of a median for the distribution of X . Hence, $E(|X - t|)$ is minimized when $t = m$. \square

4. Give a random variable, X , of expected value μ and variance σ^2 , the *skewness* of the distribution of X , denoted $\text{Skew}(X)$, is defined to be

$$\text{Skew}(X) = \frac{E(X - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X - \mu)^3 &= E[X^3 - 3\mu X^2 + 3\mu^2 X - \mu^3] \\ &= E(X^3) - 3\mu E(X^2) + 3\mu^2 E(X) - \mu^3 \\ &= E(X^3) - 3\mu E(X^2) + 2\mu^3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using $\text{var}(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$, we get that $E(X^2) = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$ so that

$$E(X - \mu)^3 = E(X^3) - 3\mu(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) + 2\mu^3,$$

or

$$E(X - \mu)^3 = E(X^3) - 3\mu\sigma^2 - \mu^3. \quad (1)$$

We will use this equation to evaluate skewness in parts (a) and (b).

- (a) Let $\beta > 0$ and $X \sim \text{exponential}(\beta)$. Compute the skewness of X .

Solution: According to the formula in (1) we need to compute the third moment of $X \sim \text{exponential}(\beta)$. We can do this by looking up the mgf of X :

$$M_x(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta t} \quad \text{for } t < \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

Differentiating with respect to t we have that

$$M'_x(t) = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta t)^2},$$

$$M''_x(t) = \frac{2\beta^2}{(1 - \beta t)^3},$$

and

$$M'''_x(t) = \frac{6\beta^3}{(1 - \beta t)^4},$$

for $t < \frac{1}{\beta}$. We then have that the third moment of X is

$$E(X^3) = M_x'''(0) = 6\beta^3.$$

Consequently, using (1) we have that

$$E(X - \mu)^3 = 2\beta^3$$

since $\mu = \beta$ and $\sigma^2 = \beta^2$. We therefore have that the skewness of $X \sim \text{exponential}(\beta)$ is

$$\text{Skew}(X) = \frac{E(X - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{2\beta^3}{\beta^3} = 2.$$

□

(b) Let $Z \sim \text{normal}(0, 1)$. Compute the skewness of Z .

Solution: The moment generating function of Z is $M_z(t) = e^{t^2/2}$ and, therefore, the moments of Z are

$$E(Z) = 0,$$

$$E(Z^2) = 1,$$

and

$$E(Z^3) = 0$$

since

$$M_z'''(t) = t(3 + t^2)e^{t^2/2} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

it then follows that $E(Z - \mu)^3 = 0$, since $\mu = 0$ in this case. Consequently, the skewness of $Z \sim \text{normal}(0, 1)$ is

$$\text{Skew}(Z) = \frac{E(Z - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} = 0.$$

□

5. Let X and Y be independent, $\text{normal}(0, \sigma^2)$ random variables, and define

$$U = X^2 + Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad V = \frac{X}{\sqrt{U}}.$$

(a) Find the joint pdf, $f_{(U,V)}$, of U and V .

Solution: First we compute the joint cdf of U and V ,

$$F_{(U,V)}(u, v) = P(U \leq u, V \leq v) \quad \text{for } u > 0 \text{ and } -1 < v < 1,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(U,V)}(u, v) &= P(X^2 + Y^2 \leq u, X/\sqrt{X^2 + Y^2} \leq v) \\ &= \iint_{R_{u,v}} f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) \, dx \, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the joint pdf of X and Y is

$$f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2\sigma^2} \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

since X and Y are independent normal($0, \sigma^2$) random variables, and $R_{u,v}$ is the region in the xy -plane defined by

$$x^2 + y^2 \leq u \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq v\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

for $u > 0$ and $-1 < v < 1$.

Next, make the change of variables

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}.$$

We then have that

$$x = rw \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 + y^2 = r^2.$$

Solving for y in the previous two equations, we see that we have two possibilities

$$y = r\sqrt{1-w^2} \quad \text{or} \quad y = -r\sqrt{1-w^2}.$$

Thus, the region $R_{u,v}$ is divided into two disjoint regions $R_{u,v}^+$ and $R_{u,v}^-$ corresponding to $y > 0$ and $y < 0$, respectively. We then have that

$$F_{(U,V)}(u, v) = \iint_{R_{u,v}^+} f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) \, dx \, dy + \iint_{R_{u,v}^-} f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

We apply the change of variables formula to each integral separately. For the integral over $R_{u,v}^+$ we obtain

$$\iint_{R_{u,v}^+} f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{-1}^v \int_0^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2} \left| \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, w)} \right| \, dr \, dw,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, w)} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} w & r \\ \sqrt{1-w^2} & \frac{-wr}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-w^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\iint_{R_{u,v}^+} f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{-1}^v \int_0^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \frac{r e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \, dr \, dw.$$

A similar calculation for $R_{u,v}^-$ shows that

$$\iint_{R_{u,v}^-} f_{(X,Y)}(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{-1}^v \int_0^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \frac{r e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \, dr \, dw.$$

We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(U,V)}(u, v) &= \int_{-1}^v \int_0^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \frac{r e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \, dr \, dw \\ &= \int_{-1}^v \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \, dw \int_0^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} r e^{-r^2/2\sigma^2} \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Taking partial derivatives with respect to v and w we then obtain the pdf

$$f_{(U,V)}(u, v) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sqrt{u} e^{-u/2\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u}},$$

where we have used the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the Chain Rule. Therefore, the joint pdf for U and V is

$$f_{(U,V)}(u, v) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} e^{-u/2\sigma^2} \quad (2)$$

for $u > 0$ and $-1 < v < 1$, and 0 elsewhere. \square

(b) Show that U and V are independent random variables.

Solution: Since the joint pdf of U and V in (2) splits into the product of

$$f_U(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} e^{-u/2\sigma^2} & \text{if } u > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } u \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_V(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} & \text{if } -1 < v < 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we see that U and V are independent random variables. Observe that $U \sim \text{exponential}(2\sigma^2)$. \square

6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with pdf f_X , and let \bar{X}_n denote the sample mean. Prove that the pdf of the sample mean satisfies

$$f_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = n f_Y(nt), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Solution: First, compute the cdf

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\bar{X}_n}(t) &= P(\bar{X}_n \leq t) \\ &= P(Y \leq nt) \\ &= F_Y(nt), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Differentiate with respect to t to obtain the result. \square

7. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a Gamma($2, \theta$) distribution, where θ is an unknown parameter. Define $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

- (a) Find the distribution of Y and determine c so that the statistic $T = cY$ is an unbiased estimator for θ .

Solution: Compute the mgf of Y to get

$$M_Y(t) = (M_{X_1}(t))^n,$$

where

$$M_{X_1}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta t}\right)^2 \quad \text{for } t < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Thus,

$$M_Y(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\theta t}\right)^{2n} \quad \text{for } t < \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad (3)$$

which is the mgf of a $\text{Gamma}(2n, \theta)$. Thus, $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(2n, \theta)$. We then have that

$$E(Y) = 2n\theta.$$

Consequently,

$$E\left(\frac{Y}{2n}\right) = \theta.$$

It then follows that $T = \frac{1}{2n}Y$ is an unbiased estimator for θ . \square

(b) If $n = 5$, show that

$$P\left(9.59 < \frac{2Y}{\theta} < 34.2\right) \approx 0.95.$$

Solution: Let $W = \frac{2Y}{\theta}$. It then follows from (3) that

$$M_W(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1-2t}\right)^{2n} \quad \text{for } t < \frac{1}{2},$$

which is the mgf for a $\chi^2(4n)$ distribution. It then follows that W has a χ^2 distribution with $4n$ degrees of freedom. For the case of $n = 5$, we have that $W \sim \chi^2(20)$. Now, for $c < d$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(c < \frac{2Y}{\theta} < d\right) &= P(c < W < d) \\ &= F_W(d) - F_W(c), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that W is a continuous random variable. If we want to construct a 95% confidence interval for θ , we need c and d such that

$$P\left(c < \frac{2Y}{\theta} < d\right) = 0.95,$$

or

$$F_w(d) - F_w(c) = 0.95.$$

We can achieve this by finding c and d such that

$$F_w(d) = 0.975 \quad \text{and} \quad F_w(c) = 0.025.$$

From a table of χ^2 probabilities, or using MS Excel or R, we obtain that

$$c = F_w^{-1}(0.025) \approx 9.59 \quad \text{and} \quad d = F_w^{-1}(0.975) \approx 34.2.$$

we therefore have that

$$P\left(9.59 < \frac{2Y}{\theta} < 34.2\right) \approx 0.95,$$

which was to be shown. \square

- (c) Use Part (b) to show that if a sample of size $n = 5$ is collected from a Gamma($2, \theta$) distribution, and the sum of the values of the sample is y , then the interval

$$\left(\frac{2y}{34.2}, \frac{2y}{9.59}\right)$$

is a 95% confidence interval for θ .

Solution: Using the result in part b we obtain that

$$P\left(\frac{1}{34.2} < \frac{\theta}{2Y} < \frac{1}{9.59}\right) \approx 0.95.$$

Consequently,

$$P\left(\frac{2Y}{34.2} < \theta < \frac{2Y}{9.59}\right) \approx 0.95,$$

and therefore

$$\left(\frac{2Y}{34.2}, \frac{2Y}{9.59}\right)$$

defines a 95% confidence interval for θ . \square

- (d) Suppose the values in a random sample of size $n = 5$ from a Gamma($2, \theta$) distribution are:

44.8079 1.5215 12.1929 12.5734 43.2305

Use the data to obtain a point estimate for θ and a 95% confidence interval for θ .

Give an interpretation of your result.

Solution: In this case the value of Y is the sum of the data values, or $y = 114.3262$. Using the result of part (c), a 95% confidence interval for θ is $(6.69, 23.0)$. This means that if we collect many samples of size $n = 5$ and compute the interval in the manner prescribed in part (c), then, on average, 95% of those intervals will capture the true parameter θ . \square

8. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a normal(μ, σ^2) distribution and define the statistic

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2,$$

where \bar{X}_n denotes the sample mean. We will show later in this course that $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}T_n$ has a χ^2 distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom.

- (a) Explain how you would use knowledge of the distribution of $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}T_n$ to obtain a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the variance σ^2 of a normal(μ, σ^2) distribution based on a random sample of size n from that distribution.

Solution: Let $Y = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}T_n$. Given that $Y \sim \chi^2(n - 1)$, where n is known, we can find c and d so that

$$F_Y(c) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad F_Y(d) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

It then follows that

$$P(c < Y < d) = F_Y(d) - F_Y(c) = 1 - \alpha,$$

where we have used the fact that Y is a continuous random variable. It then follows that

$$P\left(c < \frac{1}{\sigma^2}T_n < d\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

from which we get that

$$P\left(\frac{1}{d} < \frac{\sigma^2}{T_n} < \frac{1}{c}\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

or

$$P\left(\frac{1}{d}T_n < \sigma^2 < \frac{1}{c}T_n\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d}T_n, \frac{1}{c}T_n\right)$$

is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the variance σ^2 . \square

- (b) Give a 90% confidence interval for the variance of a normal(μ, σ^2) distribution based on the statistic T_n , where the sample size, n , is 20.

Solution: Here, $\alpha = 0.1$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(19)$. Therefore,

$$c = F_Y^{-1}(0.05) = 8.91 \quad \text{and} \quad d = F_Y^{-1}(0.95) = 30.1.$$

we then have that a 90% confidence interval for the variance in this case is

$$\left(\frac{1}{30.1}T_n, \frac{1}{8.91}T_n\right).$$

\square

9. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with unknown expectation, μ , and unknown variance, σ^2 . Define the statistic

$$T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2,$$

where \bar{X}_n denotes the sample mean.

- (a) Starting with

$$(X_i - \mu)^2 = [(X_i - \bar{X}_n) + (\bar{X}_n - \mu)]^2,$$

where \bar{X}_n denotes the sample mean, derive the identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 = T_n + n(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2. \quad (4)$$

Solution: Compute

$$(X_i - \mu)^2 = (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 + 2(\bar{X}_n - \mu)(X_i - \bar{X}_n) + (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2,$$

then add

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 + 2(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_n = n\bar{X}_n - n\bar{X}_n = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2 = n(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 + n(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2,$$

which is (4). □

- (b) Take expectations on both sides of equation (4) to derive a formula for $E(T_n)$ in terms of σ^2 . Is T_n an unbiased estimator for σ^2 ?

Solution: Taking expectation on both sides of (4) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i - \mu)^2 = E(T_n) + nE(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2,$$

where we have used the linearity of the expectation operator, E .

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X_i) = E(T_n) + n \text{var}(\bar{X}_n),$$

where

$$\text{var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

Consequently,

$$n\sigma^2 = E(T_n) + n \frac{\sigma^2}{n},$$

from which we get that

$$E(T_n) = (n - 1) \sigma^2.$$

Hence, T_n is not an unbiased estimator for σ^2 . □