

## Solutions to Assignment #4

1. (*Exercise 19 on page 51 in the text*). Given that  $\vec{r} \cdot \vec{s} = 0$ ,  $\vec{r} \cdot \vec{x} = c$  and  $\vec{r} \times \vec{x} = \vec{s}$ , find the components of  $\vec{x}$  in each of the three mutually orthogonal directions:  $\vec{r}$ ,  $\vec{s}$  and  $\vec{r} \times \vec{s}$ .

**Solution:** The component of  $\vec{x}$  along any vector  $\vec{v}$  is given by

$$P_{\vec{v}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{v}\|^2} \vec{v}.$$

Accordingly, we have

$$P_{\vec{r}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{r}}{\|\vec{r}\|^2} \vec{r} = \frac{c}{\|\vec{r}\|^2} \vec{r},$$

$$P_{\vec{s}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{s}}{\|\vec{s}\|^2} \vec{s} = \vec{0}$$

since  $\vec{r} \times \vec{x} = \vec{s}$  implies that  $\vec{x}$  is orthogonal to  $\vec{s}$ , and

$$P_{\vec{r} \times \vec{s}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{s})}{\|\vec{r} \times \vec{s}\|^2} \vec{r} \times \vec{s},$$

where  $\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{s}) = -\vec{s} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{x}) = -\vec{s} \cdot \vec{s} = -\|\vec{s}\|^2$ .

It then follows that

$$P_{\vec{r} \times \vec{s}}(\vec{x}) = -\frac{\|\vec{s}\|^2}{\|\vec{r} \times \vec{s}\|^2} \vec{r} \times \vec{s}.$$

□

2. (*Exercise 20 on page 51 in the text*). Prove that the cross product is non-associative; that is, find three vectors  $\vec{r}$ ,  $\vec{s}$  and  $\vec{t}$  such that

$$(\vec{r} \times \vec{s}) \times \vec{t} \neq \vec{r} \times (\vec{s} \times \vec{t}).$$

**Solution:** Take

$$\vec{r} = \hat{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{t} = \vec{s} = \hat{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$(\vec{r} \times \vec{s}) \times \vec{t} = \hat{k} \times \hat{j} = -\hat{i}$$

while

$$\vec{r} \times (\vec{s} \times \vec{t}) = \hat{i} \times (\hat{j} \times \hat{j}) = \vec{0}.$$

□

3. (Exercises 22 and 23 on page 51 in the text).

22. Prove that if  $\vec{a} \times \vec{b} = \vec{0}$  and  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$ , then at least one of  $\vec{a}$  or  $\vec{b}$  must be the zero vector.

**Solution:** If none of the two vectors is zero, from  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$  we get that the vectors are perpendicular. Thus, the parallelogram determined by the two vectors is actually a square with area

$$\|\vec{a} \times \vec{b}\| = \|\vec{a}\| \|\vec{b}\|.$$

But,  $\vec{a} \times \vec{b} = \vec{0}$  and so

$$\|\vec{a}\| \|\vec{b}\| = 0,$$

which is impossible unless one of the two vectors is zero. □

23. Prove that

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = 0$$

for any two vectors  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{b}$ .

**Solution:**  $\vec{a} \times \vec{b}$  is orthogonal to  $\vec{a}$ ; therefore, its dot product with  $\vec{a}$  must be 0. □

4. In this problem and the next, we derive the vector identity

$$u \times (v \times w) = (u \cdot w)v - (u \cdot v)w$$

for any vectors  $u, v$  and  $w$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- (a) Argue that  $u \times (v \times w)$  lies in the span of  $v$  and  $w$ . Consequently, there exist scalars  $t$  and  $s$  such that

$$u \times (v \times w) = tv + sw$$

**Solution:** The vector  $u \times (v \times w)$  is orthogonal to the vector  $v \times w$ ; therefore, since  $v \times w$  is perpendicular to the plane through spanned by  $v$  and  $w$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , it must be the case that  $u \times (v \times w)$  also lies in that plane. It then follows that

$$u \times (v \times w) = tv + sw$$

for some scalars  $t$  and  $s$ . □

- (b) Show that  $(u \cdot v)t + (u \cdot w)s = 0$ .

**Solution:** Since  $u$  is orthogonal to  $u \times (v \times w)$ , taking inner-product with  $u$  on both sides of the equation

$$u \times (v \times w) = tv + sw$$

yields that

$$u \cdot (tv) + u \cdot (sw) = 0$$

or

$$t(u \cdot v) + s(u \cdot w) = 0, \tag{1}$$

which is what we were asked to show. □

5. Let  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $w$  be as in the previous problem.

- (a) Use the results of the previous problem to conclude that there exists a scalar  $r$  such that

$$u \times (v \times w) = r[(u \cdot w)v - (u \cdot v)w].$$

**Solution:** Assume that none of the vectors  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $w$  is zero; otherwise, the identity would hold trivially.

If  $u$  is orthogonal to both  $v$  and  $w$ , then  $u \cdot v = u \cdot w = 0$  and so the right-hand side of the identity would be zero. On the other hand,  $u$  would also be parallel to  $v \times w$  and therefore the left-hand side of the identity would also be zero. Thus, in this case, the identity also holds trivially for any scalar  $r$ .

Thus, we may assume that  $u \cdot v \neq 0$  and  $u \cdot w \neq 0$ . Multiply

$$u \times (v \times w) = tv + sw$$

by  $u \cdot v$  to get

$$(u \cdot v)u \times (v \times w) = t(u \cdot v)v + s(u \cdot v)w.$$

Solving equation (1) for  $t(u \cdot v)$  and substituting into the previous equation yields

$$(u \cdot v)u \times (v \times w) = -s(u \cdot w)v + s(u \cdot v)w,$$

which can be re-written as

$$u \times (v \times w) = -\frac{s}{(u \cdot v)}[(u \cdot w)v - (u \cdot v)w].$$

Thus, the identity follows with

$$r = -\frac{s}{(u \cdot v)}.$$

□

- (b) By considering some simple examples, deduce that  $r = 1$  in the previous identity

**Solution:** Apply the identity to  $u = v = \hat{i}$  and  $w = \hat{j}$ . Then,

$$u \times (v \times w) = \hat{i} \times (\hat{i} \times \hat{j}) = \hat{i} \times \hat{k} = -\hat{j}.$$

On the other hand

$$r[(u \cdot w)v - (u \cdot v)w] = r[(\hat{i} \cdot \hat{j})\hat{i} - (\hat{i} \cdot \hat{i})\hat{j}] = -r\hat{j}.$$

Thus,  $r = 1$ .

□