

FOURTH WRITING ASSIGNMENT

Your assignment this time is to produce a *detailed* outline, a road map, of your final paper. The final paper will be roughly seven to eight pages.

Your outline is due Friday, 11/18 at 5 p.m. by email.

Topics

I am not distributing paper topics because I'd like you to devise your own topic. Ideally you'll find a topic in the philosophy of mind about which you have something to say that goes beyond what we covered in class. I hope you've already been thinking about a topic, because it does take time to find a topic about which you have some interesting insight, analysis, or criticism to contribute. But means that by the time you settle on a topic, you'll have an idea what that interesting something is.

As I mentioned in class, I recommend against writing on free will. I want the critical discussion in your paper to be fairly sophisticated, which will be hard to do if you're tackling free will for the first time.

Depth Over Breadth

What I want to see in the paper is <u>depth</u> of analysis, not <u>breadth</u>. I'd prefer you to talk about one or two objections for several pages rather than have you present a laundry list of objections and one- or two-line replies.

Sources

For most topics, you do not have to consult outside sources for your paper. (Obviously this will depend on your topic. If you decide to write on Hume's view of the mind, you will have to consult at least <u>one</u> outside source!) I'm fine with – and I even encourage – you grappling with the issues on your own, without worrying about interpreting and incorporating other authors' views.

Because I'm not requiring you to use outside sources, I'll be assuming any uncited ideas in your outline are your own. Thus if you <u>do</u> consult outside sources, and ideas from a source find their way into your outline, you <u>must</u> cite that sources.

This applies to background and exegesis, as well as critical analysis. If you find an on-line article raising an objection to Jackson's Mary argument that you hadn't thought of that you want to discuss in your paper, you should certainly cite the article. But if you find an encyclopedia entry that *lays out* Jackson's argument in a particularly clear fashion, and you use that entry to write your bullet point summary of Jackson's argument, you should cite that entry as well. (If you'd be uncomfortable about me reading an uncited source right after reading your outline, you should probably cite it.)

In short, I encourage you to think about the issues in your paper on your own. But if you do get outside help, I want to know about it. I will be <u>extremely strict</u> about enforcing Pomona's academic honest policy. There's a link to the policy on the course website.

If you have questions about what you should and shouldn't cite, by all means come talk to me about it.

Outline

Your outline should be a crystal clear, bullet point presentation of what you intend to say in your paper.

Writing this kind of outline is <u>not</u> less work than writing a draft of the whole paper. You are outlining *everything important* that you would include in a draft. After reading the outline, I will have a *complete* picture of your critical thoughts on the topic.

I'm looking for a very clear, bullet point presentation of what you intend to do in the paper.

- 1. Any position or objection you intend to discuss should be concisely described in a sentence or two.
- 2. <u>Lay out any argument</u> for or against a position you will discuss.
 - I am looking for <u>more</u> than, e.g., "Describe Jackson's Mary argument." I want you to actually lay out Jackson's argument in bullet points.
- 3. <u>Describe your criticism</u> in an equally clear way. You should be able to give a concise description of your criticism that relies only on what is presented by 1) and 2). That is, any premise, principle, or bit of reasoning that you want to criticize should be in a previous bullet point.
 - Again, I am looking for <u>more</u> than, e.g., "Criticize Jackson's premise that Mary knows all the physical facts while in the room." I want you to actually tell me what your criticism is. The bullet points should fully capture your reasoning.
 - You are giving me the substance of what would be in a final paper without having to worry as much about the writing. That's why this will be almost as much work as writing a draft. But I hope this will help you write a much better final paper.

Here is a sketch of an example.

- Abortion is the deliberate killing of a fetus.
- Thomson grants (for the sake of argument) that the fetus is a person, and, as such, has rights.
- Thomson argues that abortion is still morally permissible.
- Thomson challenges the assumption that it is never permissible to kill an innocent person.
 - o Thomson presents the kidnapped violinist case. [A concise description of the case would follow, something like: "You are kidnapped by the Society of Music Lovers and surgically attached to a world famous violinist. The violinist has a rare blood disorder and needs the use of your kidneys for nine months to survive." Make sure you include all the

important features of the case that you'll be discussing later in your outline in your concise description.]

- o Thomson thinks the salient features of violinist case are X and Y. [Of course you'd actually need to say what features X and Y are. X might be: "the violinist is completely dependent on your for survival." Note that you will want this bullet point to say more than: Thomson thinks the violinist case shows that it is sometimes permissible to kill an innocent person. That's not terribly informative.]
- o Because of features X and Y, Thomson thinks we should all agree that it is permissible to kill the violinist.
- o Thomson claims the violinist is analogous to an unborn fetus. The case of a pregnant mother also has features X and Y.

o ...

- I object to Thomson's argument because I think she has failed to consider Z, the real salient feature of the violinist case.
 - o Feature X does not have the significance Thomson attaches to it because...

o ...